



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

utth

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/498,398	02/04/2000	Soren V. Andrsen	20184-000100US	8774
7590	02/28/2005		EXAMINER	
Robert J Bennett Towsend & Townsend & Crew Two Embarcadero Center 8th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3834			ARMSTRONG, ANGELA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2654	
DATE MAILED: 02/28/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/498,398	ANDRSEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Angela A. Armstrong	2654		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/18/2004.

2a)  This action is **FINAL**.                    2b)  This action is non-final.

3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## **Disposition of Claims**

4)  Claim(s) 13-21 and 26-46 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6)  Claim(s) 13-21 and 26-46 is/are rejected.

7)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)  The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a)  All    b)  Some \* c)  None of:  
1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date .  
4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_ .  
5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  
6)  Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 18, 2004 has been entered.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 20 and 26-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shlomot et al (US Patent No. 5,699,481) in view of Shepard (US Patent No. 5,943,347) and further in view of Chen (US 2002/0007273).

4. Regarding claim 26, Shlomot teaches a timing recovery scheme for packet speech in multiplexing environment of voice data with applications. Shlomot provides for Manipulating a received sound signal to produce a sound signal, wherein the received sound signal is received from a packet switched network that loses some packets, at Figure 4, col. 3, line 45 to col. 4, line 41;

Receiving a first received frame from the packet-switched network, wherein the first received frame is part of the received sound signal, at Figure 4, col. 3, line 45 to col. 4, line 41 and col. 5, line 45 to col. 6, line 56;

Producing a first signal frame corresponding to the first received frame, at Figure 4, col. 3, line 45 to col. 4, line 41 and col. 5, line 45 to col. 6, line 56;

Wherein the first signal frame is part of the sound signal, at Figure 4, col. 3, line 45 to col. 4, line 41 and col. 5, line 45 to col. 6, line 56;

The second received frame is normally produced contiguously with the first received frame, at Figure 4, col. 3, line 45 to col. 4, line 41 and col. 5, line 45 to col. 6, line 56;

Determining after beginning the first producing step that at least part of the second received frame is currently unavailable for production, at Figure 4, col. 3, line 45 to col. 4, line 41 and col. 5, line 45 to col. 6, line 56;

Shlomot does not specifically teach producing an expanded portion, wherein the first signal frame and the expanded portion are contiguous parts of the sound signal, and the expanded portion that corresponds to a different amount of the received sound signal than either the first or second received frames.

Refer to Shepard who teaches an apparatus and method for error concealment in an audio stream. Specifically, at col. 3, line 35 continuing to col. 5, line 24, Shepard teaches determining that there is a problem with a received packet, and inserts one cycle of a fundamental pitch period with a cross-fade to replace lost or dropped data, such that the cross-fade renders transitions between boundaries of existing, original data and any inserted data much smoother.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify the system of Shlomot to implement cross fading based in part upon a change in network status, as taught by Shepard, for the purpose of rendering transitions between boundaries of existing, original data and any inserted data much smoother, as suggested by Shepard.

Shlomot and Shepard do not teach the first signal frame and the expanded portion have different time lengths in the sound signal. Chen discloses a system for providing frame loss concealment caused by packet loss in communications using IP networks, in which the decoder is capable of reconstructing from the output stream at least two replicas of the input signal, each replica having a different sampling rate (page 3, paragraph [0033]). Chen teaches the adaptive frame loss concealment process of the decoder reduces the effect of missing or delayed packets (page 2, paragraph [0012]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify the system of Shlomot to implement cross fading based in part upon a change in network status, as taught by Shepard, for the purpose of rendering transitions between boundaries of existing, original data and any inserted data much smoother, as suggested by Shepard, and to further modify the system to provide for a receiver/decoder to reconstruct replicas of the original signal at different sampling rates, as suggested by Chen, for the purpose of reducing effects of missed or delayed packets, as also suggested by Chen.

Regarding claim 27, 31, 33, 35-37, and 40, Shlomot, Shepard, and Chen teach everything as claimed in claim 26. Shlomot does not specifically teach the expanded portion is selected from the first signal frame based, at least in part, upon measures of periodicity or that the

Art Unit: 2654

portions are merged based, at least in part, on overlap-add. Shepard teaches determining that there is a problem with a received packet, and inserts one cycle of a fundamental pitch period with a cross-fade to replace lost or dropped data, such that the cross-fade renders transitions between boundaries of existing, original data and any inserted data much smoother.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify the system of Shlomot to implement cross fading based in part upon a change in network status, as taught by Shepard, for the purpose of rendering transitions between boundaries of existing, original data and any inserted data much smoother, as suggested by Shepard.

Regarding claim 28, Shlomot, Shepard, and Chen teach everything as claimed in claim 26. Additionally, Shlomot teaches determining step comprises determining near the end of production of the first signal frame if the second received frame is currently unavailable for production, Figure 4, col. 3, line 45 to col. 4, line 41.

Regarding claims 29, 30, 32, 45, and 46, Shlomot, Shepard, and Chen teaches everything as claimed in claim 26. Additionally, Shlomot teaches determining after beginning the second producing step that the second received frame is still unavailable for production, at Figure 4, col. 3, line 45 to col. 4, line 41.

Shlomot does not specifically teach producing a second expanded portion, wherein the expanded portion and the second expanded portion are contiguous parts of the sound signal.

Shepard teaches determining that there is a problem with a received packet, and inserts one cycle of a fundamental pitch period with a cross-fade to replace lost or dropped data, such

that the cross-fade renders transitions between boundaries of existing, original data and any inserted data much smoother.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify the system of Shlomot to implement cross fading based in part upon a change in network status, as taught by Shepard, for the purpose of rendering transitions between boundaries of existing, original data and any inserted data much smoother, as suggested by Shepard.

Regarding claims 20, 34, 38, and 39, Shlomot, Shepard, and Chen teach everything as claimed in claim 26. Additionally, Shlomot teaches the signal frame corresponds to a plurality of received frames, at col. 3, line 66 to col. 4, line 1.

Regarding claims 41-44 claims 41-44 are similar in scope and content to claims 26-40, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

4. Claims 13-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shlomot in view of Shepard and Chen, as applied to claim 26 above, in further view of Kubin et al, "Time Scale Modification of Speech Based on a Non-linear Oscillator Model," IEEE, 1994, page 453-456.

5. Regarding claims 13-19 and 21, Shlomot, Shepard, and Chen teach everything as claimed in claim 26. Additionally, Shlomot teaches the system manipulates the length of received signal frames by performing time expansion or time compression of one or more signal frames at time varying intervals and with time varying lengths of the expansion or the compression at col. 3, line 67 continuing to col. 5, line 34; time varying lengths dependent upon a signal fitting criteria

with respect to signal characteristics at col. 4, lines 55-63; col. 6, line 65 to col. 7, line 4; col. 7, lines 15-20; length manipulation is a fraction of the time between two samples at col. 4, lines 55-63; col. 6, line 65 to col. 7, line 4; col. 7, lines 15-20. Shlomot and Shepard do not specifically implement an oscillator model when manipulating the lengths of the signal frames.

Kubin discloses a system for time-scale modification of speech based on a nonlinear oscillator model. Specifically, Kubin describes the oscillator model (page 453, col. 1, section 1.2), a state-transition codebook (page 453, col. 1, section 1.3) and application of the oscillator and codebook in time-scale modification (page 455, col.1, section 3). Kubin teaches that the system provides for high quality output at moderate computational cost.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to implement the time scale modification with oscillator model and state codebook techniques of Kubin in the timing recovery system of Shlomot, for the purpose of improving the speech quality of the transmitted speech at a moderate computational cost.

#### *Response to Arguments*

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13-21 and 26-46 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### *Conclusion*

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela A. Armstrong whose telephone number is 703-308-6258. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on (703) 305-9645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Angela A. Armstrong  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2654

AAA  
February 19, 2005

*Angela Armstrong*